



The Town and Country Planning Act 1990
Refusal of Full Planning Permission
Application Reference Number: PL/2026/02163
Decision Date: 08/06/2026

Applicant:	Messrs Stephen & Charles Boyes c/o Brimble Lea, Unit 3 Kingsmead Business Park, Shaftesbury Road, Gillingham, Dorset, SP8 5FB
Particulars of Development:	Retrospective planning application for retention of welfare facilities and revisions to workshop building permitted by planning permission PL/2022/01499.
At:	Boyes Transport Yard, Longcross, Near Zeals, Warminster, BA12 6LL

In accordance with paragraph 39 of the National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF), this planning application has been processed in a proactive way. However, due to technical objections or the proposal's failure to comply with the development plan and/or the NPPF as a matter of principle, the local planning authority has had no alternative other than to refuse planning permission.

In pursuance of their powers under the above Act, the Council hereby **REFUSE TO GRANT PERMISSION** for the development referred to in the above application and plans submitted by you, for the following reason(s):

Refusal Reason

- 1 Following a detailed site visit and an assessment of the submitted plans and the detailed planning history and the stipulations of the various planning consents related to the site, it is considered that the submitted plans are inaccurate and incomplete, with the omission of key elements of development already carried out on site, and there also being clear evidence of non-compliance with previously approved plans and planning conditions under permission PL/2022/01499. The application fails to provide a comprehensive and reliable description of the development and its associated operations, including but not limited to, parking provision, storage, lighting, landscaping, and the extent of operational activities.

As a result, in the absence of sufficient and accurate supporting information, including a Landscape Visual Appraisal, and given the statutory duty to seek to further the purposes of the National Landscape, and the great weight afforded to conserving and enhancing landscape and scenic beauty, on the basis of the information submitted, the proposal fails to demonstrate that it would not result in adverse harm to the character and appearance of the area or that it complies with the Development Plan. The development would therefore be contrary to Core Policies CP51, CP57 and CP61 of the Wiltshire Core Strategy, saved Policy E19 of the Salisbury District Local Plan, and the relevant provisions of the National Planning Policy Framework.

Nic Thomas - Director of Planning, Economy and Regeneration

NOTES

1. **Appeals.** If the applicant is aggrieved by the decision of the local planning authority to refuse permission, they may appeal to the Secretary of State for the Environment in accordance with Section 78(1) of the Town and Country Planning Act 1990 within six months of the date of this decision. (Information and forms relating to the appeals process can be found at the Planning Portal - [Appeal a planning decision: Overview - GOV.UK \(www.gov.uk\)](https://www.gov.uk/guidance/appeal-a-planning-decision-overview)).