

We object to the changes in this application.

Reviewing the updated application there are a number of concerns with the proposed changes: -

1. The structure has been rotated by 180 degrees, resulting in the west elevation containing the various doors and windows facing our property and our neighbouring property. This significantly impacts on our privacy. (Image 1-3 show the view from the back of our house and bedroom)
2. The additional extension of the west elevation moves these windows and doors even closer to our property and the neighbouring property. Additionally, there is no indication on the plans of the proposed location for staff and customer parking. It is highly likely the parking will be located beyond the west elevation, as this is closest to the offices and treatment room. This parking will be adjacent to our property and will result in more noise disturbance.
3. The original drawings proposed glazing behind louvered windows along the north and south elevations. Viewing the construction from our garden, there is only a mesh screen on both the north and south elevation and no glazing. Reviewing the amended proposed drawings of this variation of condition, the reference to glazing has been removed, which we can only believe confirms they will not be fitted. This will result in significant noise disturbance and smell as the north elevation is less than 4 metres from a number of properties on Poulton Hill and Tin pit Lane. (Image 4)
4. There is a significant increase in the number of translucent light panels on the roof of the structure, (originally proposed 20 and now constructed with 72). This will increase the amount of light pollution.

We raised numerous concerns in our objection to the original planning application PL/2021/04663, which included the impact to local wildlife.

Since the construction of this structure, we have sadly not seen any muntjac and pheasants in our garden and surrounding area, which used to be a regular occurrence, and brought much joy and interest to our family.

On our original objection, we also raised concerns the applicant had not provided full details of lighting for the proposed roadways, and the impact on the surrounding area at night, threatening our dark skies. The significant increase in translucent light panels merely exaggerates our concerns about the amount of light pollution when this construction becomes operational, with roadways and parking lighting likely to be installed. Our family and several neighbours are members of the Friends of the Marlborough Telescope Group, who regularly view the wonders of space from our gardens, for which dark skies are essential.

It is very disappointing and quite frankly appalling to see the significant changes already constructed compared to the planning proposed in application PL/2021/04663. This is undoubtably not by accident, and we hope the planning committee will refuse this application and ensure the structure is regressed to the original plans.

Stuart & Jacqui Dillon



Image 1



Image 2



Image 3



Image 4

