

From: Anthony Hopkins [REDACTED]
Sent: 28 March 2026 14:31
To: Developmentmanagement <Developmentmanagement@wiltshire.gov.uk>
Subject: RE: Isobella Wise - PL/2026/01620

Dear Isobella,

Please take this representation into account when assessing the application.

I have been significantly affected by the decision of the Planning Inspectorate to overturn Wiltshire Council's refusal of application PL/2023/06033, which is located directly opposite my home. I am therefore particularly concerned that a further PIP application has now been submitted immediately adjacent to my property.

I wish to emphasise that [REDACTED] and changes to the immediate environment around my home have a direct and significant impact on my daily life, [REDACTED] Issues such as road safety, access, and the surrounding environment are fundamental to my independence and wellbeing, and must be given appropriate weight in the decision-making process.

I remain concerned that, in the previous appeal decision, the issues I raised do not appear to have been fully taken into account. This raises further concern that the cumulative impact of successive developments on my circumstances has not yet been properly assessed.

This proposal must be considered alongside the earlier approved PIP. The cumulative effect of multiple developments in such close proximity risks overdevelopment and represents a piecemeal approach that fails to properly assess the overall impact on the area and on neighbouring residents.

The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) requires that planning decisions create safe, inclusive, and accessible environments (including paragraphs 92, 96 and 135), ensuring that developments function well for all users, including those with disabilities.

When considered cumulatively with recent approvals, this proposal risks undermining those objectives.

Furthermore, under the Equality Act 2010, the Council is subject to the Public Sector Equality Duty (section 149), which requires due regard to the need to eliminate discrimination and advance equality of opportunity for disabled people. This includes properly considering how development proposals affect the ability of disabled residents to access and use their environment safely and independently.

In addition, the proposal appears to conflict with key policies of Wiltshire Council's Core Strategy. Core Policy 57 (Ensuring High Quality Design and Place Shaping) requires development to create safe, inclusive, and accessible environments that respond positively to local context. Core Policy 64 (Transport) seeks to ensure that development provides safe and suitable access for all users and does not create unacceptable impacts on the transport network. The cumulative impact of multiple developments in this location raises clear concerns in relation to both of these policies.

From a site-specific perspective, the local road environment and surrounding infrastructure are already limited in their ability to safely accommodate additional traffic and activity. There is limited space for safe manoeuvring, visibility can be constrained, and there is a lack of dedicated pedestrian infrastructure.

The road serving my property is narrow and lacks dedicated pedestrian infrastructure such as pavements, requiring me [REDACTED] to use the carriageway itself. Visibility along the road is also constrained in places, making it difficult for drivers to anticipate other road users. [REDACTED]

I am particularly [REDACTED] in these conditions. Any increase in traffic or obstruction arising from additional development would materially increase the risk to my personal safety and significantly limit my ability to safely access and leave my home.

Additional dwellings are likely to increase traffic, create potential obstructions, and place further strain on already constrained local infrastructure. [REDACTED] even relatively small changes—such as increased vehicle movements or reduced usable road space—can have a disproportionate and harmful effect on daily life and independence.

The proposal would also erode the open character of the area and may lead to impacts on residential amenity, including noise, disturbance, and loss of privacy.

Given these factors, I respectfully request that the Council gives proper and explicit weight to the needs of disabled residents, fully considers the cumulative impact of recent and proposed developments, and refuses this application.

I would be grateful if both this representation and my comments submitted via the planning portal are taken fully into account in the determination of this application.

Kindest regards

Anthony Hopkins

