

I am writing to you about the planning for Mrs Harford at the bottom of Doctors Hill. The applicant didn't have planning permission for a habitable space within her barn. When permission was granted yet over the time she found that she needs to stay there more and more to look after her animals. Eventually her life things changed in her life and she needed to stay there more and more increasingly so if planning permission is not granted the decision is for greater than the planning issues that are in front of you because you are talking here about somebody's life somebody's home, which if planning didn't go her way, she would become homeless [REDACTED] wouldn't it be pragmatic for planning to be granted for her lifetime? Only to allow her to stay untroubled looking after her beloved Horses.

The key issue is with security and animal husbandry. It is correct to make a claim that in planning terms, on-site management is an excepted feature of stock control and management. The provision of on site residential accommodation is an appropriate facility for anyone in the business of animal husbandry, whether it be for pigs, sheep, goats, lamas, horses etc. for their well-being, or for security particularly in the case of of high value stock in isolated locations such as Kingsdown.

Kindest regards

Annette Dolan