

Planning Statement for the Application for Variation of Condition 2

PL/2025/07568 4 New Buildings

The Pre-application response (PL/2025/00372) drew attention to appropriate use of materials in keeping with the adjacent buildings. There is, to say the least, an eclectic mix of materials at the rear of the terrace applied both to the house extensions and the various outbuildings including blockwork, brickwork, render, wood and even metal (see attached photographs). During the Pre-application process I had a conversation with Claire Pratt, when she visited the site and subsequently, regarding materials for the outbuilding and the originally submitted scheme consisting of brickwork to the front (south) elevation and fine faced blockwork to the other areas was accepted.

Furthermore, I note the terrace is identified within Seend NP as a Locally Valued Non-designed Heritage Asset however, I believe this relates to the front roadside elevation. A site visit will confirm the same as the rear of the terrace contains of myriad of building styles and materials which is clearly not of any heritage interest.

In summary I believe the requirements for the outbuilding to be construed entirely of brick to be over onerous and wrongly applied. With this in mind, I ask for the Permission to be amended to reflect the originally proposed materials or render to the rear and sides of the outbuilding.

For the visible areas of the rear extension, I intended to use original bricks reclaimed from the demolished internal wall and outside privy. For the East and West side elevations, above the neighbour's rooflines which are not visible from the rear, I would like to ask for the Permission to be amended to reflect the originally proposed materials as there may not be enough reclaimed bricks for these areas. I would also like to point that the original bathroom extension was built of a sand coloured fine faced block which matched exactly the colour of the window lintels of the cottage and so blended in well.