



Community Consultation Addendum

Bishopstone – 19th January 2025

- 1.1. This Addendum accompanies the Community Consultation Report (CCR) and Full Planning Application for development on Land at Whitlocks, Bishopstone, Wiltshire (the Site).
- 1.2. The purpose of this Addendum is to provide details of the most recent consultation and more importantly the feedback from the consultation and how this has been fed back into the proposals.
- 1.3. Following the withdrawal of the initial 15-unit application PL/2025/03116 new proposals have been drawn up for 9 units on the site at Whitlocks, Bishopstone.
- 1.4. Cherrington Planning undertook a second more informal community consultation on the 19th January 2026 on behalf of the client, the Newcastle Trust, to engage the Community and the Parish Council pre-submission to ensure transparency, informing interested parties about the Proposals and to gain an insight into local issues and ideas.
- 1.5. The community were invited by the Parish Council via Website and in the Whatsap Group.
- 1.6. The informal meeting was held prior to Bishopstone's regular Parish Council meeting in Bishopstone Village Hall at 6pm and was attended by approximately around 40-50-people. Overall, the plans were received positively with the main feedback themes being:
 - Positive reception to the reduce number of houses.
 - Positive reaction to the affordable housing.
 - Positive reaction to the design of the houses and the variance of dwellings.
 - Positive reaction to the increase area of grassland at the rear of the site.
 - Some concern over the increased traffic on the highway, although this was more to do with the speed of the current traffic on main road. Some people said that they did not think that this would be an issue.
- 1.7. Overall, Cherrington Planning consider that the Community Consultation was successful, based on the feedback received on the night, as it has enabled all parties to gain an insight into local issues and any concerns relating to the Proposals along with any positive support for the proposal. No changes to the proposed plans were necessary following the above feedback.