

Grove Cottage Wardour

Arboricultural Impact Assessment, Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement

Rich Firminger, NCH (Arb), TechArborA

28th January 2026

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com



Arb Impact Assessment and Tree Protection Statement

Introduction

Heads of Terms

This document provides information for the benefit of the local planning authority and the project team, however, the specific tree protection details are contained within the attached Tree Protection Plan, Arboricultural Method Statement and Arboricultural Guidance Sheets.

The document has been prepared based on information available to Advanced Arboriculture Ltd at the time of writing, however, further technical, topographical, arboricultural, architectural, ecological or engineering information may come to light after the relevant arboricultural conditions have been cleared. It is the responsibility of the project manager to draw any changes in the project scope to our attention at the earliest opportunity.

The scheduling and implementation of the tree protection measures detailed in the report also remains the responsibility of the client. Whilst the project team may appoint a suitably qualified third party arboricultural supervisor, Advanced Arboriculture Ltd are able to take on this role subject to the client's formal instruction.

Advanced Arboriculture Ltd shall not be held liable for any unauthorised deviation from the tree protection measures and scheduling detailed within this report.

Tree Protection Overview

The included Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement detail the tree protection measures required for the proposals, the timing of the provision of tree protection measures, and the retention of a suitably qualified arboricultural supervisor in the event of any accidental damage to the trees.

This document must be reviewed by the client or their delegated project manager and/or site manager with the arboricultural supervisor prior to the commencement of any works to ensure that both the scheduling and protection measures detailed within the Arboricultural Method Statement remain achievable and realistic. Once the Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement Plans have been reviewed and signed off by both the client/site manager and arboricultural supervisor, these drawings must be held on site for ongoing reference and to allow the local planning authority to check them at any reasonable time. Any variations to the Tree Protection Plan or Arboricultural Method Statement must be copied to the local planning authority; in the case of major variations to these documents, written approval may be required.

Tree Stock Appraisal

Grove Cottage is a large plot on Nightingale Lane, a quiet country lane near Wardour. The plot currently consists of a previously extended single detached dwelling, with numerous outbuildings. The garden of the dwelling extends to the north, east and particularly the west and is of considerable scope. The boundary to the east is shared with a pasture field, to the north is arable field and the eastern boundary is shared with a neighbouring property. The southern boundary runs adjacent Nightingale Lane, and on the opposite side of the road is a woodland of mixed species. The site is currently accessed by a single gateway, leading to a gravel driveway which swings around the back of the dwelling. The garden of the plot is currently laid to lawn, with areas of ornamental shrubs and trees with a small dried-up pond towards the east. An overhead, low-voltage power line and telecoms cable enters the site from the east, passing through the canopies of several of the trees on the site to a pole situated in the south-eastern aspect of the garden area.

The vegetation recorded for the purpose of this report consists of a broad range of specimens of varying quality, size, age and merit. On the site, there are sixteen individual trees (one of which is situated on neighbouring property), six areas, four hedges and one neighbouring woodland.

The first individually recorded specimens are Beech T1 and T2, both category B specimens of single-stemmed and multi-stemmed form. T1 has a north-western crown bias and stem lean, and both of which have considerable landscape value. Adjacent the eastern boundary is T3 Ash, which of considerable size. The crown has been historically pruned to maintain a safety clearance from the overhead low-voltage powerline which passes by. It does display some Ash Dieback Disease which limits the Safe Useful Life Expectancy (SULE) and indeed its future potential. The most notable specimens on site, trees Oaks T4 and T7 are category A specimens of considerable quality and scale growing adjacent to the eastern and northern boundaries respectively. In amongst these are numerous specimens including Oak T5 and area A3, which are of lesser quality, but still of worthy landscape value, providing a good screen to the property. Monkey Puzzle T6 has been recently planted beneath the canopies of this area, and is not expected to amount to anything unless other finer specimens suffer. It is therefore recommended that this tree be removed to maintain a better environment for the more notable native specimens in this area. Cypress T8 is found adjacent Oak T7, and though attractive in form and variety, it is of considerable scale, casting shade and thus suppressing the crown of the western aspect of the crown of T7. The removal of Cypress T8 may be worth consideration in order to preserve the form of the higher value native Oak and Yew T9. In a more central position is Cypress T10, a low quality ornamental specimen with a collapsing crown and a dense necrotic center. Nestled in between two outbuildings on the northern boundary is Horse Chestnut T11, a declining single-stemmed specimen which has been impacted by the construction of the outbuildings and associated activity. Although clearly under stress, this tree could be maintained if desired, following the removal of the outbuildings and soil improvement. Adjacent the driveway is Yew T14, a clipped single-stemmed specimen of attractive form, which offers good landscape and amenity value. Also in this area are Cypress T15 & T16, ornamental specimens of lower value despite their nice form.

Mixed native Hedge H1 spans from the existing entrance to the site along the southern boundary to the south-eastern corner of the plot. Forming a thin screen, this hedge is of limited quality due to its sporadic and thin form, with some low quality fallen stems. Areas A1 and A2 consisting of Magnolia, Rhododendron and Cypress are of low quality and value set in the eastern area of the plot. A6 is a thinned area of Cherry, Holly, and Laurel in the centre of the site, exhibiting limited overall quality. The Cherries, however, could have potential if maintained.

Towards the western aspect of the site is Field Maple T12 on the north

boundary, a large, dead single-stemmed specimen which requires removal regardless of any development. Either side are areas A4 and A5, consisting of Hazel Hawthorn and Elder. Hazel A5 is of very nice overgrown form, and contains some very nice Hazel stools which add to the character of the area. On the neighbouring side of the western boundary is Spruce T13, and Hawthorn & Hazel hedge H3, offering a good screen between the properties. Mixed Native hedge H4 spans from the south-western corner of the site, down to the existing entrance. On the opposite side of Nightingale Lane is woodland W1, and with a mix of semi-mature to mature specimens including Ash, Beech Oak and Larch. Some of these specimens are tall, and cast a shade over part of the plot. The Ash in the woodland is exhibiting symptoms of Ash Dieback Disease, and in some areas the canopy overhangs the plot, which may benefit from sympathetically pruning back to boundary.

The British Standard 5837:2012 category split of the surveyed trees is:

Trees - A: 2 (13%), B: 5 (31%), C: 8 (50%), U: 1 (6%)

Areas/Hedges/Woodland - A: 0 (0%), B: 2 (18%), C: 9 (82%), U: 0 (0%)

A comprehensive commentary on each tree, including full spatial data, is provided within the attached Arboricultural Data Tables with the tree locations shown on the Tree Location Plan and the arboricultural constraints shown on the Tree Constraints Plan

Arboricultural Impact Assessment

The proposals for Grove Cottage consist of the removal of the existing outbuildings and the driveway, and the modernisation of the existing dwelling. The existing dwelling is to be expanded towards the north following the removal of the outdated extensions, whilst retaining the original southern wing. This will necessitate the removal of Cypress stems T15 and T16, both of which are low quality, category C ornamental specimens. The adjacent Yew T14 is of higher quality, and will benefit from the additional space to grow.

An outbuilding consisting of a garage, plant room and garden store is proposed towards the north-eastern aspect of the plot. The siting of this outbuilding will require the removal of areas A1 and A2 and Cypress tree T10, which are all low quality category C specimens. After considering the arboricultural constraints of the site, the position of the outbuilding has been adjusted to sit outside of the root protection areas (RPA) of all retained trees.

A new entrance to the property is proposed to enter through the center of hedge H1, and due to the low quality of the existing hedge this is considered to be arboriculturally sustainable. In order to achieve an adequate visibility splay, the entrance will pass within the edge of the RPA of Beech T1, resulting in the need for root pruning. This RPA incursion amounts to 6% of the RPA, and is not considered significant. The existing entrance will be filled in with mixed native hedge specimens and the remaining hedge would benefit selective stem removal and re-planting, which overall has the potential to increase the value of hedge H1.

Conclusions and Recommendations

Overall, the proposals allow for the retention of the key trees with a negligible risk of any harm as a consequence of construction activities. The site layout is therefore considered to be sustainable from an arboricultural point of view subject to the appropriate care being taken during construction as detailed within the Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement.

Attachments

- Arboricultural Data Tables
- Photographs
- Tree Location Plan
- Tree Constraints Plan
- Tree Protection Statement
- Tree Protection Plan
- Arboricultural Method Statement
- Arboricultural Site Induction Sheet
- AGS101 Braced Heras Fencing
- AGS105 High-Visibility Barrier Mesh (Low Risk Zone)
- AGS201 Ground Protection
- AGS403 Root Pruning Within Root Protection Area
- AGS408 Demolition of Structures and Surfaces Near Trees
- AGS801 Protective Fencing Poster
- AGS802 Site Office Tree Poster

Document Title:

Background Information

Location:

Grove Cottage Wardour

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Arboricultural Data Tables

Data Table Fields and Abbreviations

Abbreviations used in the survey are as follows:

Tree No	Corresponding to plan	
Species	Common name	
Ht	Height in metres	
Crown Spread	Crown spread in metres as measured at the four cardinal points of the compass	
Stem Dia	Diameter at breast height in mm (1.5 metres above ground level), or measured in accordance with the prescribed British Standard protocol in the case of multi-stemmed specimens (see Annex C in British Standard 5837:2012 for full details)	
RPA	Root Protection Area radius in metres (derived from the British Standard 5837:2012 formulae)	
Ht to L/B	Crown height in metres as measured to the height of the lowest branch	
Dir	Direction from which the lowest branch arises	
Cr Ht	Height of crown in metres above ground level	
Age Class	Y	Young (grown to less than one third of life expectancy)
	MA	Middle Aged (grown to between one to two-thirds of life expectancy)
	M	Mature (grown to over two thirds of normal life expectancy)
	OM	Over Mature
	V	Veteran
SULE	Safe useful life expectancy range in years	
Cond	Condition, both physiological and structural:	
	G	Good (trees with no significant defects)
	F	Fair (trees with some defects amenable to surgery)
	P	Poor (trees with significant defects)
BS Cat	British Standard 5837:2012 Category (see Cascade Chart adjacent for full details)	
m/s	Denotes multistem tree along with the individual stem diameters	
#	Denotes estimated value where access was not possible	

British Standard 5837:2012 Cascade Chart For Tree Quality Assessment

Category and definition	Criteria (including subcategories where appropriate)		
Trees unsuitable for retention			
Category U Those in such a condition that they cannot realistically be retained as living trees in the context or the current land use for longer than 10 years	Trees that have a serious, irremediable, structural defect, such that their early loss is expected due to collapse including those that will become unviable after removal of other category U trees (e.g. where, for whatever reason, the loss of companion shelter cannot be mitigated by pruning) Trees that are dead or are showing signs of significant, immediate, and irreversible overall decline Trees infected with pathogens of significance to the health and/or safety of other trees nearby, or very low quality trees suppressing adjacent trees of better quality <i>Note: Category U trees can have existing or potential conservation value which it may be desirable to preserve</i>		
	1. Mainly arboricultural qualities	2. Mainly landscape qualities	3. Mainly cultural values, including conservation
Trees to be considered for retention			
Category A Trees of high quality with an estimated life expectancy of at least 40 years	Trees that are particularly good examples of their species, especially if rare or unusual: or those that are essential components of groups or formal or semi-formal arboricultural features (e.g. the dominant and/or principal trees within an avenue)	Trees, groups or woodlands of particular visual importance as arboricultural and/or landscape features	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood-pasture)
Category B Trees of moderate quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy of at least 20 years	Trees that might be included in category A, but are downgraded because of impaired condition (e.g. presence of significant though remediable defects, including unsympathetic past management and storm damage), such that they are unlikely to be suitable for retention for beyond 40 years; or trees lacking the special quality necessary to merit the category A designation	Trees present in numbers, usually growing as groups or woodlands, such that they attract a higher collective rating than they might as individuals; or trees occurring as collectives but situated so as to make little visual contribution to a wider locality	Trees, groups or woodlands of significant conservation, historical, commemorative or other value (e.g. veteran trees or wood -pasture
Category C Trees of low quality with an estimated remaining life expectancy or at least 10 years, or young trees with a stem diameter below 150mm	Unremarkable trees of a very limited merit or of such an impaired condition that they so not qualify in higher categories	Trees present in groups or woodlands, but without this conferring on them significantly greater collective landscape value; and/or trees offering low or only temporary/transient landscape benefits	Trees with no material conservation or other cultural value

Notes

- The categorisation of trees in accordance with British Standard 5837:2012 is a subjective exercise and may be subject to variation when compared with other professionals' evaluations.
- Trees are dynamic structures and advice should be taken on validity two years after the survey was undertaken.
- The survey data may not be considered valid after more than three years.
- The survey has been prepared using all reasonable skill and care.
- Opinions are provided in good faith.

Document Title:

Arboricultural Data Tables

Location:

**Grove Cottage
Wardour**

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com


Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	Cr Sprd (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	RPA Rad (m)	RPA Area (m²)	LB Ht (m)	Cr Ht (m)	Age Cl	SULE	Cond Phys/Str	Observations	Recommendations	BS Cat
T1	Beech	15.0	N: 8.5 E: 6.5 S: 7.0 W: 9.5	670	8.10	206	0.5/N	0.0	MA	>40	G/F	• Remnant hedge specimen near south-western boundary adjacent highway • Multi-stemmed specimen but stems included- unable to measure each stem individually • Stem leans to west • Crossing branches and minor deadwood throughout crown • Damaged bark throughout crown, likely from squirrels • Some arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• Crown lift as necessary to accommodate proposed driveway	B2
T2	Beech	16.0	N: 5.5 E: 6.0 S: 7.5 W: 7.5	590 (m/s: 490, 320)	6.90	150	1.0/S	0.5	MA	>40	G/F	• Twin-stemmed specimen in south-west corner of plot • Situated adjacent highway and neighbouring boundary field entrance • Major and minor deadwood throughout crown • Ivy present • Good future potential exhibited	• Sever Ivy at the base of the tree	B2
T3	Ash	20.0	N: 5.0 E: 10.5 S: 11.0 W: 9.0	680 (m/s: 520, 440)	8.10	206	4.0/SW	4.0	MA	10-20	F/F	• Twin-stemmed specimen on eastern boundary • In proximity to and overhanging overhead low voltage power cables • Ash Dieback Disease evident • Thin crown and deadwood throughout • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited • Ivy present	• No works required at the present time	C2
T4	Oak	21.0	N: 9.5 E: 9.0 S: 12.0 W: 12.5	790	9.60	290	5.0/S	1.0	MA	>40	G/G	• Nice specimen on eastern boundary adjacent drainage ditch • Stem has slight lean to west, into plot • Evidence of excavation around roots on northern aspect of stem but unclear of extent • Epicormic growth evident • Good arboricultural value and future potential exhibited • Ivy present	• Sever Ivy at the base of the tree • Reduce crown on north-western aspect by approximately 1-2m to accommodate development	A2
T5	Oak	17.0	N: 9.0 E: 8.0 S: 5.5 W: 8.0	660	7.80	191	3.0/N	1.0	MA	>40	G/G	• Nice specimen on eastern boundary adjacent drainage ditch • Stem has grown around wire stock fence which has been cut off, resulting in rigid wire extruding from stem in numerous places • Good arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• No works required at the present time	B2

Data: Individual Trees Site Reference: RF/C240/0924 Location: Grove Cottage, Wardour Inspection Date: 20th September 2024 Lead Surveyor: Rich Firminger														
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	Cr Sprd (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	RPA Rad (m)	RPA Area (m²)	LB Ht (m)	Cr Ht (m)	Age Cl	SULE	Cond Phys/Str	Observations	Recommendations	BS Cat
T6	Monkey Puzzle	4.0	N: 1.5 E: 1.5 S: 1.5 W: 1.5	100 #	1.20	5	1.0/W	0.0	Y	>40	F/F	• Young specimen planted beneath canopies of Oak T5 and Oak T7 near north-east corner of plot • Poor choice of species and location • Limited arboricultural value and future potential	• Fell irrespective of development	C2
T7	Oak	20.0	N: 10.0 E: 10.0 S: 13.0 W: 11.0	980	11.70	430	4.0/S	1.0	MA	>40	G/G	• Large specimen close to north-eastern corner of plot • Adjacent drainage ditches on two sides and arable field to north, likely offsetting root protection area • Deadwood throughout crown • Significant arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• Reduce crown on south-western aspect by approximately 1-2m to accommodate development	A1
T8	Cypress	16.0	N: 3.0 E: 4.0 S: 7.0 W: 6.0	620 (m/s: 570, 240)	7.50	177	0.5/S	1.0	MA	>40	F/F	• Large ornamental specimen adjacent Oak T7 • Variegated foliage variety • Causing crown suppression on T7 Oak	• Reduce crown on southern aspect by approximately 1-2m to accommodate development • Consider removal to favour T7 Oak	C2
T9	Yew	7.5	N: 3.0 E: 5.0 S: 6.0 W: 5.0	400 #	4.80	72	2.0/S	1.0	Y	>40	G/G	• Multi-stemmed specimen near north boundary on edge of lawn area • festigiate form exhibited • Regrowth at base • Good future potential and arboricultural value exhibited	• Reduce crown on southern aspect by approximately 1-2m to accommodate development • Sever Ivy at the base of the tree	B2
T10	Cypress	5.0	N: 4.0 E: 4.0 S: 3.0 W: 2.0	220 (m/s: 10 x 70) #	2.70	23	0.0/N	0.0	Y	20-40	F/F	• Multi-stemmed specimen in eastern lawn area • Untidy, overgrown appearance • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• Fell to accommodate development	C2
T11	Horse Chestnut	12.0	N: 6.5 E: 9.0 S: 7.5 W: 7.0	790	9.60	290	1.5/N	2.0	MA	10-20	F/F	• Declining single-stemmed specimen in centre of northern boundary, adjacent drainage ditch • Situated in close proximity of two outbuildings • Root protection area likely impacted by construction of outbuildings • Fire ash and debris piled inside root protection area • Minor and major deadwood throughout • Some future potential if maintained	• No works required at the present time	C2
T12	Field Maple	15.0	N: 9.0 E: 7.0 S: 7.0 W: 9.0	640 #	n/a	n/a	4.0/N	4.0	MA	<10	P/P	• Moribund specimen on north boundary • Extensive deadwood throughout, with only the odd limb showing signs of life • No arboricultural value or future potential exhibited	• Fell irrespective of development	U

Data: Individual Trees Site Reference: RF/C240/0924 Location: Grove Cottage, Wardour Inspection Date: 20th September 2024 Lead Surveyor: Rich Firminger														
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	Cr Sprd (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	RPA Rad (m)	RPA Area (m²)	LB Ht (m)	Cr Ht (m)	Age Cl	SULE	Cond Phys/Str	Observations	Recommendations	BS Cat
T13	Spruce	19.0	N: 4.5 E: 6.0 S: 4.0 W: 6.0	520	6.30	125	3.5/N	0.5	MA	>40	G/G	• Neighbouring boundary specimen to west of plot • Nice feature, but evident of historic pruning and flush cuts • Extensive sap bleeding from main stem in multiple locations • Some landscape value and future potential exhibited	• No works required at the present time	C2
T14	Yew	7.5	N: 4.0 E: 3.0 S: 3.0 W: 3.5	310 (m/s: 240, 200)	3.60	41	2.0/N	2.5	Y	>40	G/G	• Ornamental twin-stemmed specimen adjacent driveway • Tight fork union resulting in DBH taken at approximately 1.8m above ground level • Evidence of historic pruning • Nice garden feature with arboricultural value and future potential	• No works required at the present time	B2
T15	Cypress	9.0	N: 2.0 E: 2.0 S: 2.0 W: 2.0	350	4.20	55	1.5/E	1.5	Y	>40	F/G	• Single-stemmed ornamental specimen adjacent drive • Slight basal flare exhibited • Limited arboricultural value and future potential	• Remove to accommodate development	C2
T16	Cypress	9.0	N: 2.5 E: 2.5 S: 3.5 W: 3.0	330	3.90	48	2.5/S	1.0	Y	>40	G/G	• Single-stemmed ornamental specimen adjacent drive/parking area • Stem bifurcates at approximately 2.5m • Stem leans to south • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• Remove to accommodate development	C2

Ref No.	Species	Height (m)	Cr Sprd (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	RPA Rad (m)	RPA Area (m²)	LB Ht (m)	Cr Ht (m)	Age CI	SULE	Cond Phys/Str	Observations	Recommendations	BS Cat	
A1	• Magnolia • Rhododendron	<9.0	Max: 4.5m	<170	<2.10	<14	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	20-40	P-F/P-F	• Area of ornamental specimens around low voltage powerline pole near south-east corner of lawn area • Historic pruning point evident • Larger Magnolia has large storm damaged limb tear out • Limited arboricultural value and future potential	• Fell to accommodate development	C2	
A2	• Cypress	<9.0	Max: 2.0m	<260 #	<3.00	<28	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	20-40	F/F	• Area of ornamental specimens around pond in eastern lawn area • No individual or collectively outstanding specimens • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• Fell to accommodate development	C2	
A3	• Field Maple • Holly • Cypress • Rhododendron	<7.5	Max: 6.0m	<700	<8.40	<222	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	20-40	F/F	• Area of mixed ornamental specimens near north-eastern corner of plot, set amongst T7, T8 and T9 • No individual or collectively outstanding specimens • Offers good screen to neighbouring boundary arable field with some future potential exhibited	• No works required at the present time	C2	
A4	• Hawthorn • Hazel • Elder	<7.0	Max: 5.0m	<270 #	<3.30	<34	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	10-20	P-F/P-F	• Area of mixed native species on north boundary, adjacent ditch • No individual or collectively outstanding specimens • Some Ash saplings growing but not recorded • Evidence of recent pruning • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• No works required at the present time	C2	
A5	• Hazel • Hawthorn	<9.0	Max: 8.0m	<760 #	<9.00	<254	>=0.0	>=0.0	MA	>40	F-G/F-G	• Row of remnant specimens on northern boundary • Unmanaged and overgrown, but have developed into nice large specimens with dense crowns • Single-stemmed Hawthorn in hedge very large for species and contributes to overall arboricultural value • Good landscape and arboricultural value exhibited with good future potential	• No works required at the present time	B2	
A6	• Cherry • Holly • Laurel	<9.0	Max: 4.0m	<280	<3.30	<34	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y	20-40	F/F	• Three single-stemmed cherry specimens amongst recently removedholly and Laurel stools set in western lawn area • Some future potential exhibited but limited arboricultural value • Ivy present	• Sever Ivy at the base of the tree	C2	

Ref No.	Species	Height (m)	Cr Sprd (m)	Stem Dia (mm)	RPA Rad (m)	RPA Area (m²)	LB Ht (m)	Cr Ht (m)	Age Cl	SULE	Cond Phys/Str	Observations	Recommendations	BS Cat	
H1	• Holly • Cypress • Berberis • Elder	<4.0	Max: 2.0m	<75 #	<0.90	<3	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y	20-40	F/P-F	• Hedge adjacent highway on southern boundary, to east of driveway • Predominantly Holly, with other interspersed species, including some ornamental cypress which have collapsed • Thin crown exhibited, possibly due to shade cast by Woodland W1 • Limited arboricultural value but has future potential if maintained	• No works required at the present time	C2	
H2	• Ash • Hawthorn	<8.0	Max: 3.0m	<100 #	<1.20	<5	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y	20-40	F/F	• Eastern boundary hedgeline • Very sparse, likely due to suppression from surrounding Oaks • Limited arboricultural value and future potential exhibited	• No works required at the present time	C2	
H3	• Hawthorn • Hazel	<5.0	Max: 3.0m	<75 #	<0.90	<3	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	20-40	F/F	• Neighbouring boundary hedge to west of site, possibly shared between both parties • Offers good screen, but overgrown with brambles • Mostly 5m in height with some taller sections • Has some future potential if maintained but offers limited arboricultural value	• No works required at the present time	C2	
H4	• Hazel • Field Maple • Dogwood • Blackthorn	<4.0	Max: 2.0m	<160 #	<1.80	<10	>=0.0	>=0.0	Y-MA	>40	F-G/F-G	• Hedge adjacent highway on southern boundary, to west of driveway • Thin and sparse crown yet overgrown, untidy and full of bramble • Limited arboricultural value, but offers good screen if maintained	• No works required at the present time	C2	
W1	• Ash • Beech • Oak • Larch	<20.0	Max: 8.0m	<700 #	<8.40	<222	>=0.0	>=0.0	MA	>40	F-G/F-G	• Neighbouring boundary woodland to south, over highway • Some Ash Dieback Disease present in varying stages- some advanced • Large limb from an Ash extends over boundary • Good overall condition and future potential	• No works required at the present time	B2	



Notes

- Numbers relate to the photographs in the following section.
- Photograph locations and directions are indicative.
- Copies of these photographs in JPEG format are available from Advanced Arboriculture on request.

Drawing Title:

Photograph Locations

Location:
**Grove Cottage
Wardour**

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
1:500	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com



Photograph 1: Approximate location of proposed entrance



Photograph 2: Existing entrance



Photograph 3



Photograph 4

Notes

- Copies of these photographs in JPEG format are available from Advanced Arboriculture on request.

Drawing Title:

Photographs

Location:

**Grove Cottage
Wardour**

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

All photographs © Advanced Arboriculture.



Photograph 5



Photograph 6



Photograph 7



Photograph 8



Photograph 9



Photograph 10



Photograph 11

Notes

- Copies of these photographs in JPEG format are available from Advanced Arboriculture on request.

Drawing Title:


Photographs

Location: Grove Cottage Wardour		
Date: 28.01.2026	Project Reference: RF/C240/1024	Revision: 1.2
Scale: n/a	Paper Size: A3	Drawn By: RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com




Photograph 12



Photograph 13



Photograph 14




Photograph 15: Ground disturbance around the base of T5



Photograph 16



Photograph 17



Photograph 18



Photograph 19: Indicating overhanging Ash limb from woodland W1

Notes

- Copies of these photographs in JPEG format are available from Advanced Arboriculture on request.

Drawing Title:

Photographs

Location:

Grove Cottage
Wardour

Date:

28.01.2026

Project Reference:

RF/C240/1024

Revision:

1.2

Scale:

n/a

Paper Size:

A3

Drawn By:

RF

Advanced Arboriculture

Venmore Barn

Woodbury

Devon EX5 1LD

t: 01395 239002

e: info@advancedarb.com

w: www.advancedarb.com

All photographs © Advanced Arboriculture.



Key

- T_n Category A tree
- T_n Category B tree
- T_n Category C tree
- T_n Category U tree
- Individual tree crown spread
- Collective crown spreads
- Root protection area
- BS5837:2012 shade path
- ⊗ Trees to remove to enable development
- ⊕ Trees to remove irrespective of development
- Collective crown spread to be removed
- Proposed development footprint
- Construction exclusion zone
- Braced Heras fencing (see AGS101)
- High-visibility barrier fencing (see AGS105)
- Ground protection zone (see AGS201)
- Root pruning line (see AGS 403)



Notes

-

Drawing Title:
Tree Constraints Plan

Location: Grove Cottage Wardour		
Date: 28.01.2026	Project Reference: RF/C240/1024	Revision: 1.2
Scale: 1:500	Paper Size: A3	Drawn By: RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Tree Protection Statement - Key Information

- Once planning consent has been granted, this Tree Protection Statement is a legally binding document.
- All planning conditions must be read and fully implemented, including the arboricultural supervision inspection programme.
- Failure to follow the measures detailed within the drawings and method statement can lead to the issue of a Stop Notice or further enforcement action.
- The drawings and method statement must be reviewed by the demolition/groundwork/construction/landscape teams to ensure that all works can be completed without encroachment into the Construction Exclusion Zones. If this is not possible then any issues must be raised with Advanced Arboriculture and alternative solutions found.

Document Downloads:
Scan these QR codes with your mobile device to download PDF versions of the Arboricultural Guidance Sheets referred to within this document.



AGS101



AGS105



AGS201



AGS403



AGS408



AGS901



AGS902



FENCE SIGN



OFFICE SIGN

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Arb Impact Assessment and Tree Protection Statement

Tree Protection Measures Overview

Arboricultural Supervision

An ad-hoc arboricultural inspection programme is shown on the Arboricultural Method Statement Plans. Inspections must be requested by the client, project manager and/or site manager where the project scope changes, or where unforeseen construction activities may present a threat to retained trees on or adjacent to the site. The attached Arboricultural Supervision Record Sheet must be filled in on an ongoing basis and retained on site for inspection by the local planning authority at any reasonable time.

Staff Induction

The Arboricultural Method Statement references the attached Arboricultural Staff Induction Sheet. This must be read, understood and signed by all site operatives, including sub-contractors, as an integral element of their initial site induction. The purpose of this is to minimise the potential for damage to trees during construction.

Protective Fencing

Protective fencing is a key element of the tree protection measures for this project. This comprises 59 braced Heras panels (see Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS101 attached); the specification for these matches the specification detailed within British Standard 5837:2012.

A total of 33 metres of high visibility fencing (see Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS105) is also shown on the Tree Protection Plan. The purpose of this is to act as a visual demarcation of the construction exclusion zones where there is considered to be a low risk of damage to retained trees from plant, materials or personnel.

All fencing must be erected prior to the commencement of any mobilisation to site by contractors, plant or materials and must remain in situ until all construction works have been completed and approval for removal is granted by the arboricultural supervisor.

Site Organisation

Prior to the commencement of any demolition or construction activities on site, the locations for site offices, welfare facilities, parking, a materials storage area and a concrete/plaster mixing area must be designated and marked on the Tree Protection Plan.

It may be possible to locate site huts, cabins and welfare facilities where protective fencing is shown on the Tree Protection Plan, however, this will only be possible with the written consent of the arboricultural supervisor and subject to the following conditions:

- The site huts will remain in situ for the duration of the project (if not, protective fencing will still be required prior to the installation of the huts, or after their removal);
- There is sufficient crown height available to accommodate the huts without the need for unauthorised crown lifting or pruning;
- Any services or sewerage for the huts must be remain above ground and not require excavation;
- No discharge from the huts, including grey water, shall be permitted within the demarcated construction exclusion zone, with the exception of rainwater from the roofs or guttering;
- Where foundation pads are required to support huts, these must comprise timber sleepers or concrete paving slabs placed on

the existing ground level (digging foundations in must be avoided).

Parking, materials storage and materials mixing must remain outside of the designated construction exclusion zones, and the materials mixing area should be bunded or contained such that any spillage or rinsings cannot run towards the root protection areas of any retained trees.

If bonfires are permitted, these must remain at least ten metres from either the construction exclusion zone, root protection area or crown spread of any tree, whichever is closer; this is to minimise any risk of heat damage to either the rooting system or crown of any retained tree.

Ground Protection

Ground protection comprising three 8'x4' panels (*Groundguards* or equivalent) is shown on the Tree Protection Plan where construction access over root protection areas is unavoidable. A ground protection specification is shown in Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS201.

Ground protection must be installed at the same time as the protective fencing and must remain in situ for the duration of the project. The ground protection may only be lifted earlier in the construction programme with the written consent of the arboricultural supervisor.

Demolition of Existing Structures and Surfaces

Care will be required when undertaking demolition works on site. Demolition activities must be informed by the diagrams and information detailed within Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS408. A copy of this document must be included within all tender documents to ensure that the appointed contractor factors tree constraints and protection into their workflow and costings.

Root Pruning

Where excavation is required on the outer sections of the root protection area, it will be necessary to undertake controlled excavation and root pruning. It is recommended that this be undertaken by a suitably qualified arboricultural contractor in accordance with the method statement detailed within Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS403. We are able to arrange these works on request.

Services

The location of proposed new services has not been made available to Advanced Arboriculture Ltd at the time of this report's preparation. All services must be routed outside of the root protection areas of all retained trees. Where this is not possible, alternative installation methods must be investigated, including manual digging, directional boring, etc.

Engineering drawings showing the proposed service routes should be forwarded to Advanced Arboriculture Ltd for review prior to the commencement of any ground works or services installation. Advanced Arboriculture is able to forward a PDF or AutoCAD DWG file directly to the project engineers on request showing the accurate locations of the root protection areas.

Hard and Soft Landscaping

Any hard landscaping within the root protection area of any retained trees which includes changes in ground levels (cut or fill), new walls or new paths will require further arboricultural review to ensure that any detrimental impact is limited. If unsustainable damage is considered to be unavoidable then the landscaping scheme will require revision.

Soft landscaping near retained trees, including the planting of new trees and shrubs, must be undertaken with considerable care due to the potential for rooting damage. Mechanical rotovation or cultivation within the construction exclusion zones shown on the Tree Protection Plan must be avoided as this can cause significant damage to the rooting system of adjacent trees.

All new trees must be sourced from a reputable nursery and planted in accordance with the recommendations detailed within British Standard 8545:2014. We are able to provide an independent verification of the quality of new trees prior to planting on request.

Tree Works

The appointed tree work contractor must ensure that all tree works comply with British Standard 3998:2010 (Tree Works - Recommendations) and it is strongly advised that the appointed tree contractor is Arboricultural Association Approved to ensure high standards and a consistency of work.

Under the Wildlife & Countryside Act 1981 & Countryside & Rights of Way Act 2000 it is an offence to recklessly damage or destroy the nest of a wild bird whilst in use or being built; planning consent does not provide a defence against prosecution under these Acts. Trees, shrubs and hedgerows on this site may contain nesting birds between 1st March and 31st August and it is advisable to undertake a survey of the site before commencing any vegetation removal between these dates, to ensure that no nesting birds are present. Advanced Arboriculture are able to undertake a survey to identify the presence of bats or nesting birds if required at the request of the client.

Attachments

- Arboricultural Data Tables
- Photographs
- Tree Location Plan
- Tree Constraints Plan
- Tree Protection Statement
- Tree Protection Plan
- Arboricultural Method Statement
- Arboricultural Site Induction Sheet
- AGS101 Braced Heras Fencing
- AGS105 High-Visibility Barrier Mesh (Low Risk Zone)
- AGS201 Ground Protection
- AGS403 Root Pruning Within Root Protection Area
- AGS408 Demolition of Structures and Surfaces Near Trees
- AGS801 Protective Fencing Poster
- AGS802 Site Office Tree Poster

Document Title:

Background Information

Location:

Grove Cottage Wardour

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Arboricultural Method Statement

All works to be undertaken sequentially in accordance with the following schedule:

1. Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement to be reviewed and signed off by the Site Manager and Arboricultural Supervisor (see below). Any amendments to be made and a copy of all revised documents sent to the local planning authority as necessary. Signed off copy to be held on file in the site office for the duration of construction works.
2. All site personnel, including sub-contractors, to be advised of tree protection requirements during induction (see Arboricultural Induction Sheet).
3. All tree works to be undertaken in accordance with British Standard 3998:2010.
4. Protective fencing and ground protection to be installed as per the specifications detailed within the Arboricultural Guidance Sheets.
5. Arboricultural supervisor to attend site to inspect tree protection measures prior to the commencement of any construction activities (may be done via WhatsApp video where practicable).
6. Demolition to be completed in accordance with methodology detailed within Arboricultural Guidance Sheet AGS408.
7. Construction to commence in accordance with approved site layout.
8. Advanced Arboriculture to undertake inspections at key trigger points (see Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record) along with ad hoc inspections at the request of the site manager or client. All inspections to be logged on the Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record and any issues to be raised within an Exception Report to the client.
9. Any accidental damage to trees to be reported immediately to Advanced Arboriculture with any necessary remedial works to be agreed with the local planning authority.
10. Fencing to be dismantled only on completion of all construction works and to allow for soft landscaping.
11. Signed copy of this drawing and Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record to be held on project files on completion of all construction works.



Notes

- The arboricultural supervision requirements are detailed within the attached supervision record but may be further modified by a planning condition.
- The arboricultural supervision schedule must be incorporated into the project programme, ensuring that the arboricultural supervisor is contacted with a minimum of five working days before the identified key trigger points.
- Failure to fully comply with this Arboricultural Method Statement and supervision programme may result in the local planning authority refusing to sign off any tree-related planning conditions, or pursuing enforcement action.
- It is the client's responsibility to appoint an arboricultural supervisor prior to the commencement of the project on site. Advanced Arboriculture Ltd cannot be held liable for any failure to follow this schedule or for non-compliance with the prescribed tree protection measures and method statements.
- The client may appoint any suitably qualified and experienced arboricultural consultant to fulfil the role of arboricultural supervisor, but Advanced Arboriculture Ltd are able to take on this role on request.

Document Review

The Arboricultural Method Statement must be reviewed and signed off by the Site Manager and Arboricultural Supervisor prior to the commencement of works to ensure that it is fit for purpose.

Site Manager: _____

Arb Supervisor: _____

Date: _____

Document reviewed? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Issues raised? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Revised document required? ☐ Yes ☐ No

Drawing Title:
Arboricultural Method Statement Plan

Location:
**Grove Cottage
Wardour**

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
1:500	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Tree Protection Information



Trees on this site are legally protected by the Local Planning Authority.

- Planning conditions, Tree Preservation Orders and Conservation Area regulations mean that damage to trees may result in enforcement action and all site works being stopped.



Protective fencing must not be moved or dismantled under any circumstances.

- The protective fencing for the trees is there to protect the trees and their rooting systems.
- The fencing must not be moved for any reason unless it has been approved by the Site Manager and the Arboricultural Supervisor.



The Construction Exclusion Zones are not to be used for any reason.

- These areas are there for a reason: to protect the tree above and below the ground.
- Storage of materials, the mixing of concrete, the fueling of machines, the parking of vehicles, *etc.* all cause damage to a tree's roots so use a designated zone for these activities.



Trees are not to be used for any purpose - they are there for the future.

- Trees are not to be used as a place to screw signs onto, or as cable supports.
- Fires can do massive damage to trees, both above and below ground, and even some distance away. If a fire is permitted on site, it must be at least ten metres from the nearest branch of any retained tree.



Extra care will always be required when craning or using excavators.

- It's too easy to accidentally swing an excavator boom, HIAB, crane jib or load into the branches or trunk of a tree so extra care is always required.
- Plan all movements carefully, make sure the operator has good visibility and, where possible, use an experienced banksman.



What to do if it all goes wrong?

- Accidents can happen so if a tree is damaged, even only slightly, this must be reported to the Site Manager immediately.
- If the Site Manager is not available then contact Advanced Arboriculture immediately to seek further advice.

All site staff including archaeologists, consultants, contractors, sub-contractors, arborists and landscapers must sign below to confirm that they have read and understood this information

Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____
Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____	Full Name: _____ Signature: _____ Company: _____ Date: _____

Notes for Site Manager

- **Damage to trees during construction can result in enforcement action, including the local authority issuing Stop Notices or pursuing prosecution for damage to trees covered by a Tree Preservation Order.**
- It is essential that all staff working on site, including contractors, sub-contractors and delivery drivers, are made aware of the tree protection measures in operation on this site.
- It may be necessary to read the sheet out to personnel with limited literacy or language skills.
- Every member of staff must sign this sheet to confirm that they have fully understood the tree protection measures. The sheet must remain on site with the Tree Protection Plan and Arboricultural Method Statement to allow for inspection at any reasonable time by the Arboricultural Supervisor or the Local Planning Authority Arboricultural Officer.
- In the event of any queries, concerns or amendments, please contact Advanced Arboriculture at the earliest opportunity.
- **It is essential that the project has a designated Arboricultural Supervisor. If this role has not been assigned then please contact the client or Project Manager to request authorisation to appoint an Arboricultural Supervisor.**
- It is the Site Manager's responsibility to ensure that all staff are fully inducted, that all tree protection measures are installed and maintained correctly, and that the scheduling detailed within the Arboricultural Method Statement is followed.

Arboricultural Supervisor

(unless otherwise instructed)

Name: Tom Hurley
Company: Advanced Arboriculture
Tel: 01395 239002
Mobile: 07967 384910
Email: th@advancedarb.com

Drawing Title:

Arboricultural Site Induction Sheet

Location:

Grove Cottage
Wardour

Date:	Project Reference:	Revision:
28.01.2026	RF/C240/1024	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	RF

Advanced Arboriculture
Venmore Barn
Woodbury
Devon EX5 1LD
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record

Inspection Trigger Point Stages The following project stages will trigger the need for an inspection by the arboricultural supervisor (tick all that apply): A Tree Protection Statement review B Tree protection inspection C Pre-site-enabling inspection* D Pre-demolition inspection E Pre-groundworks inspection F Pre-construction inspection G Mid-construction inspection** H Construction completion inspection I Pre-landscaping inspection J Project completion inspection S Scheduled inspections X Ad-hoc inspection (client request) Y Ad-hoc inspection (LPA request) Z Unannounced inspection Note: The number of inspections will be determined during the preparation of the Tree Protection Statement based on anticipated risk of harm to trees. These trigger points may be modified by the local planning authority and included as a condition of any planning consent. * Site enabling includes construction of access routes, site compound setup, materials storage setup, etc. ** Timing of mid-construction to be defined at Tree Protection Statement Review stage <td> Tree Protection Statement Review Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Consultees: Client Architect Project Engineer Project Manager Site Manager Demolition Contractor Groundworks Contractor Landscape Contractor LPA Tree Officer Others: (Please specify) Comments: Planning conditions checked? All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing issues? Document revision required? </td> <td> Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required? </td> <td> Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required? </td> <td> Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required? </td> <td> Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required? </td>	Tree Protection Statement Review Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Consultees: Client Architect Project Engineer Project Manager Site Manager Demolition Contractor Groundworks Contractor Landscape Contractor LPA Tree Officer Others: (Please specify) Comments: Planning conditions checked? All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?
Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Inspection Record Stage (see trigger points): Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?	Project Completion Inspection (Stage H) Date: Inspector: Meeting: On-site On-line Comments: All concerns addressed/resolved? Tree issues? Fencing/ground protection issues? Document revision required?

Notes for Site Manager

- Where arboricultural supervision is included as a condition of a planning consent, there is a legal obligation to ensure that it is complied with in full.
- It is the site manager's responsibility to ensure that the arboricultural supervisor is appointed and inspections commissioned as per the planning consent. Failure to comply with the prescribed arboricultural supervision requirements remains the responsibility of the client.
- Reasons for requesting additional ad hoc inspections may include accidental damage to trees, an amendment to proposals, or to clarify a detail on the Tree Protection Plan or Arboricultural Method Statement. The Arboricultural Supervisor shall make every effort to attend site within 48 hours of receiving a request from the Site Manager.
- Inspections at key trigger points may coincide with scheduled inspections
- Local planning authority officers may ask to see the completed Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record at any reasonable time.
- Any issues raised during an inspection may require the Arboricultural Supervisor to prepare an Exception Report detailing remedial works or actions; these must also be kept on file in the site office.
- On completion of all construction works, a copy of this completed document must be sent to the local planning authority by the Arboricultural Supervisor to discharge the relevant conditions of the planning consent.

Arboricultural Supervisor

(unless otherwise instructed)

Name:

Tom Hurley

Company:

Advanced Arboriculture

Tel:

01395 239002

Mobile:

07967 384910

Email:

th@advancedarb.com

Drawing Title:

Arboricultural Supervision Log

Location:

Grove Cottage Wardour

Date:

28.01.2026

Project Reference:

RF/C240/1024

Revision:

1.2

Scale:

n/a

Paper Size:

A3

Drawn By:

RF



Advanced Arboriculture

Venmore Barn Woodbury Devon EX5 1LD


t: 01395 239002

e: info@advancedarb.com

w: www.advancedarb.com



Back Bracing Cross Section (for use where road pins may be driven into the ground)



Back Bracing Cross Section (for use where road pins cannot be driven into the ground)

Notes


- These specifications are for guidance only.
- This fencing specification is based on the specification detailed within British Standard 5837:2012 Figure 3 but adds an additional detail for where the use of road pins is not possible.
- Stabiliser struts to be attached at every panel union unless specified otherwise.
- A check for underground services must be completed before driving any road pins into the ground.
- Where it is not possible to use road pins due to hard surfacing or the presence of underground services, a Heras block tray may be used with a minimum of 10kg of ballast (concrete blocks, metal weights or sandbags).
- This information must accompany all tender documents to enable contractors to include tree protection measures in their costings.
- Local planning authority consent for these specifications cannot be assumed and must be sought prior to commencement of any construction works.

Drawing Title:

Braced Heras Fencing (as per BS5837 specification)

Date:	Drawing Number:	Revision:
01.05.2025	AGS101	1.1
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
1:40	A3	TH

Advanced Arboriculture
 Axehayes Barn
 Clyst St Mary
 Devon EX5 1GA
 t: 01395 239002
 e: info@advancedarb.com
 w: www.advancedarb.com



Notes


- These specifications are for guidance only.
- A check for underground services must be completed before driving any stakes into the ground. Where underground services may prevent safe use of stakes driven into the ground, consent must be sought from the local planning authority for the use of rigid plastic construction barriers or a water-filled plastic barrier block system.
- This information must accompany all tender documents to enable contractors to include tree protection measures in their costings.
- Local planning authority consent for these specifications cannot be assumed and must be sought prior to commencement of any construction works.

Drawing Title:

High-Visibility Barrier Mesh (Low Risk Zone)

Date:	Drawing Number:	Revision:
01.05.2025	AGS105	2.1
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
1:30	A3	TH

Advanced Arboriculture
 Axehayes Barn
 Clyst St Mary
 Devon EX5 1GA
 t: 01395 239002
 e: info@advancedarb.com
 w: www.advancedarb.com



- Notes**
- These specifications are for guidance only.
 - This information must accompany all tender documents to enable contractors to include tree protection measures in their costings.
 - Local planning authority consent for these specifications cannot be assumed and must be sought prior to commencement of any construction works.


Drawing Title:
Ground Protection

Date:	Drawing Number:	Revision:
01.05.2025	AGS201	1.1


Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
1:50	A3	TH

Advanced Arboriculture
Axehayes Barn
Clyst St Mary
Devon EX5 1GA
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com


Demolition Zone Working Directions



Removal of Hard Surfaces Near Trees



Mechanical Plant Operations Near Trees



Notes

- These specifications are for guidance only and must be reviewed by the Project Manager, Demolition Contractor and Arboricultural Supervisor prior to commencement of any works on site.
- This information must accompany all tender documents to enable contractors to include for specific working requirements in their costings.
- Local planning authority consent for these specifications cannot be assumed and may need to be sought prior to commencement of any demolition works.

Site Organisation

1. All works to be undertaken from outside of the root protection areas.
2. Tree protection measures to be installed prior to mobilisation to site by demolition contractors.
3. Any excavator or crane works within 2.0m of the crown spread of retained trees will require an experienced banksman or arboricultural watching brief.
4. Structures to be demolished in opposite direction from retained trees.
5. All arisings to be stored outside of the root protection areas in a location that allows rubble, etc. to be collected without unnecessary HGV movements across any root protection areas.
6. Any crushed rubble piles to be located so that fine particles cannot be carried towards root protection areas by rainfall.
7. All hard surfacing within root protection areas to be removed as detailed, working away from retained trees.

Arboricultural Method Statement

1. All permitted tree works, including access facilitation pruning or felling, to be undertaken as per permitted tree works specification and in accordance with BS3998:2010 and any relevant ecological legislation.
2. Ground protection measures (as detailed within the Tree Protection Plan) which may include protective fencing, ground protection, signage, or other elements to be installed prior to demolition contractor mobilising to site.
3. Layout of site for demolition to be set out as agreed with Arboricultural Supervisor
4. Schedule of demolition to be agreed with Arboricultural Supervisor to allow for pre-booking of arboricultural watching brief if required.
5. Demolition works to commence as agreed.
6. Arboricultural Supervisor to undertake ad hoc inspections at the request of the site manager or client. All inspections to be logged on the Arboricultural Supervision Inspection Record and any issues to be raised within an Exception Report to the client.
7. Any accidental damage to trees to be reported immediately to Advanced Arboriculture with any necessary remedial works to be agreed with the local planning authority.

Drawing Title:
Demolition of Structures and Surfaces Near Trees

Date: 01.05.2025 Drawing Number: AGS408 Revision: 1.1

Scale: n/a Paper Size: A3 Drawn By: TH

Advanced Arboriculture
Axehayes Barn
Clyst St Mary
Devon EX5 1GA
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

All drawings © Advanced Arboriculture.

TREE PROTECTION AREA



KEEP OUT

(TOWN AND COUNTRY PLANNING ACT 1990)
TREES ENCLOSED BY THIS FENCE ARE LEGALLY PROTECTED
BY PLANNING CONDITIONS AND MAY BE THE SUBJECT OF A
TREE PRESERVATION ORDER.
ANY INCURSION INTO THE PROTECTED AREA MUST HAVE THE
WRITTEN PERMISSION OF THE LOCAL PLANNING AUTHORITY.
IN CASE OF ANY DAMAGE TO PROTECTIVE FENCING OR
TREES, CALL ADVANCED ARBORICULTURE ON 01395 239002.

Advanced Arboriculture • www.advancedarb.com • office@advancedarb.com • 01395 239002



Notes

This poster may be printed out and laminated or requested electronically as an A4 PDF or ready printed on laminated board.

Printing Instructions (A4 printing only):

- For the best results, this document should be printed using a colour laser printer and laminated.
- Open this file in Adobe Acrobat Reader or Acrobat Pro.
- Select *File > Print*.
- Choose the printer and make sure it is set to print on A4 paper.
- Under *Size Options*, choose "Actual size".
- Under *Orientation*, choose "Portrait".
- Select *Print*.

Electronic Copies:

- This document may be downloaded directly from the Advanced Arboriculture website using the following links:

A4: <http://www.advancedarb.com/download/A4.pdf>

A3: <http://www.advancedarb.com/download/A3.pdf>

These documents may only be used for projects where Advanced Arboriculture have been appointed as the arboricultural supervisors.

All documents are © Advanced Arboriculture.

Arboricultural Supervisor

(unless otherwise instructed)

Name: Tom Hurley
Company: Advanced Arboriculture
Tel: 01395 239002
Mobile: 07967 384910
Email: th@advancedarb.com

Drawing Title:

Protective Fencing Poster

Date:	Drawing Number:	Revision:
01.05.2025	AGS801	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	TH

Advanced Arboriculture
Axehayes Barn
Clyst St Mary
Devon EX5 1GA
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com

CAUTION



PROTECTED TREES ON SITE

- DO NOT ENTER TREE PROTECTION FENCING
- ALWAYS USE DESIGNATED STORAGE, MIXING AND PARKING AREAS
- TAKE ADDITIONAL CARE WHEN DRIVING HIGH SIDED VEHICLES
- ALWAYS USE A BANKSMAN WHEN USING HIABS, CRANES AND EXCAVATORS NEAR TREES

REPORT ANY TREE DAMAGE TO SITE MANAGER IMMEDIATELY:

Site Manager:

Office/Mobile Number:

Notes

The poster must be put up on the site office board and in the workforce welfare facilities at the commencement of construction and must remain clearly visible for the duration of the project.

The Site Manager must enter their name and mobile telephone number in the box on the poster. In the event of any accidental damage to any trees (including rooting damage), the Site Manager must contact the Arboricultural Supervisor immediately to seek further advice.

This poster may be printed out and laminated or requested electronically as an A4 PDF or ready printed on laminated board.

Printing Instructions (A4 printing only):

- For the best results, this document should be printed using a colour laser printer and laminated.
- Open this file in Adobe Acrobat Reader or Acrobat Pro.
- Select *File > Print*.
- Choose the printer and make sure it is set to print on A4 paper.
- Under *Size Options*, choose "Actual size".
- Under *Orientation*, choose "Portrait".
- Select *Print*.

Electronic Copies:

- This document may be downloaded directly from the Advanced Arboriculture website using the following links:

A4: <http://www.advancedarb.com/download/siteposterA4.pdf>

A3: <http://www.advancedarb.com/download/siteposterA3.pdf>

These documents may only be used for projects where Advanced Arboriculture have been appointed as the arboricultural supervisors.

All documents are © Advanced Arboriculture.

Arboricultural Supervisor

(unless otherwise instructed)

Name: Tom Hurley
Company: Advanced Arboriculture
Tel: 01395 239002
Mobile: 07967 384910
Email: th@advancedarb.com

Drawing Title:

**Site Office / H&S Board
Tree Information Poster**

Date:	Drawing Number:	Revision:
01.05.2025	AGS802	1.2
Scale:	Paper Size:	Drawn By:
n/a	A3	TH

Advanced Arboriculture

Axehayes Barn
Clyst St Mary
Devon EX5 1GA
t: 01395 239002
e: info@advancedarb.com
w: www.advancedarb.com