[redacted]

Angela Ellis.
Planning Officer.
Development Services
Wiltshire Council
Bythesea Road
Trowbridge
Wiltshire.
BA14 8JN.

Date: 23 August 2023.

Dear Angela Ellis,

**Hazelcombe, Brokerswood, Westbury, Wiltshire. BA13 4EY.**

**Application Number: PL/2023/06446**

**Proposal: Certificate of Lawfulness (Existing Use) for use of dwelling house without any occupational restriction.**

I object to the above planning application and will outline my reasons as follows:

Hazelcombe was originally constructed to provide accommodation for a forester.

The planning permission for this construction would have contained an occupancy condition in accord with the Town and Country Planning Act 1962 limiting occupation to those employed in agriculture or forestry.

It is my understanding that this planning permission was granted in 1966 and I note Planning Permission Reference Number: A/4188.

I have lived in Brokerswood since the late 1950s and saw Hazelcombe being constructed.

Mr R. Little lived at Hazelcombe from its construction completion until his death in 2022.

I can confirm that Mr Little worked as a forester for myself and my brother-in-law (Mr A. G. Phillips) from 1963 and in forestry/outdoors for the majority of his working life.
Initially, for the first few years, Mr Little commuted to work for ourselves from Box in Wiltshire before his occupation of Hazelcombe.

May we have sight of the Statutory Declaration of Jill Berry which, in my opinion, appears to contain a number of untruths.

Furthermore may we have a copy of the officer's delegated report in relation to planning permission reference number A/4188 which contains an advised occupancy condition for imposing on any approval.

I would suggest that before any action is taken with regards to this application every effort be made to obtain the original planning permission. Since the reference number:A/4188 has been sourced it should be easy to obtain the original planning plates and print their contents.

However, in my opinion, any occupancy condition has been adhered to over the years.

Therefore, I see no reason why any occupancy condition should be altered or removed and the property should continue to be occupied as originally intended i.e. with an occupational restriction.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted]

A. R. Wesley.